# Chris Ellins University of Westminster London UK

Issues in the Contractual Regulation of the Ownership of Commercially Valuable Trade Marks

### Register a Trade Mark®

National mark – eg in UK - TMA 1994 – based on EU TM Directive (2008/95)

Community Trade Mark ("CTM") – for the whole EU via Regulation 207/2009 (OHIM)

# Article 4 Reg. 207/2009

- "A Community trade mark may consist of any signs capable of being represented graphically, particularly words, including
- personal names, designs, letters, numerals, the shape of goods or of their packaging, provided that such signs are capable of
- distinguishing the goods or services of one undertaking from those of other undertakings."

#### Traditional Warks

- Words / Letters
- eg Mercedes or BMW for motor vehicles

Designs / Logos

#### Function of TIMs

- Origin
- Distinctive of the goods or services of one undertaking: indicates the quality of goods
- protects business reputation and goodwill and protects consumers from deception

- Non-origin
- Symbolises and protects brand identity

### Why register a trade mark

#### Trade Marks – Advantages

- Clarity in legal title notice to the world & easier to licence
- Certainty register before use, no goodwill needed, unlimited term if use & renew evey 10 years
- Monopoly right public warning through registration
- Simpler, faster & cheaper enforcement
- Criminal sanctions may be possible in some jurisdictions

### Protect the mark

- Art 15 Reg 207/2009:
- use it or lose it -
- genuine use within 5 years and
- no uninterrupted non-use for 5 years.
- Exception proper reasons for non-use
- eg in UK Willie Wonka 2005 for toys

## TIM as Property

- Art 9 Reg. 207/2009:
- A Community trade mark shall confer on the proprietor exclusive rights therein...

- Property rights of prop'r dealt with by Arts. 16 – 23.
- Include: insolvency provisions (Art 21) and licensing ie contracts for use (Art 22)

# The Proprietor - Law

- Art 5 Reg. 207/2009:
- Any natural or legal person, including authorities established under public law, may be the proprietor of a Community trade mark

### The Proprietor - Practical

- Not easy to decide as must predict the future & consider tax implications:
- With the trading company. Investors like this as company owns and no licence needed.
- With the designer or inventor. Control over the rights but business less attractive.
- In a holding company. Designer/inventor and the trading company holding shares in the holding company

# Losing Control - What May Happen?

- Insolvency Assets sold to highest bidder
- Sale Lose right to your own name ?
- Emanuel v Continental Shelf 128 Ltd (Case C-259/04) 2006
- Elizabeth Emanuel famous dress designer
- TM cannot be prevented from reg'n or revoked because the named individual was no longer involved in the manufacture of the goods bearing that mark unless actual deceit or a sufficiently serious risk of consumer deception. Goods still from same undertaking

### Warketing

- Why Licence?
- No resources to develop / risk
- Lack of knowledge / capacity:
- new territory
- different field of use e.g. film / band and merchandise
- Generate income one off payment / royalties?
- Wide market penetration & faster success time frame often narrow?

#### Contractual Licence Terms

- Some / all of the goods / services for which registered (eg field of use)
- Whole or part of the [Community] (eg national licensees)
- Exclusive or non-exclusive
- Duration inc. renewal options & early termination eg failure to sue, payments, breach of conditions.
- Payments lump sum, minimum sum, royalties – calculation

### Contractual Licence Terms 2

- Very flexible for commercial negotiation
- Possible Downside:
- need consent of prop'r to bring legal action unless an exclusive licence and prop'r does not bring action after formal notice: Art 27(3)

# Licensing & TM Control – Rolls-Royce Case Study

- Rolls-Royce Limited made jet engines and cars
- Nationalised in 1971 due to insolvency
- 1973 Rolls-Royce Motors sold and in 1980 bought by Vickers. TM licensed to RR Motors and now used by 2 companies.
- BMW supplied engines and parts for RR Motors. They also had joint ventures with Rolls-Royce plc who made the jet engines

# Rolls-Royce Case Study BIMW v VW

- 1998: VW outbid BMW (£430 million v £340 million) for RR Motors.
- Got rights to Spirit of Ecstasy mascot and the distinctive radiator grille.
- But TM RR name and logo on licence from Rolls-Royce plc jet engine maker.

# Rolls-Royce Case Study BIMW y VW 2

- RR plc & BMW had joint business ventures.
- BMW paid £40m to license the Rolls-Royce name and "RR" logo
- Result Neither BMW or VW had full rights.
- Tie-breaker: BMW could withdraw engine supply on 12 months notice. VW needed more time to design their own engines.

# Rolls-Royce Case Study BIMW v VW 3

VW says it only wanted Bentley as it outsold RR two to one.

- Negotiations:
- 1998 to 2002 BMW supply engines & licence
   VW to use RR name / logo.
- From 2003 only BMW can call cars RR. VW switch to Bentley

### Group Lotus y Team Lotus

[2011] EWHC 1366 (Ch.)

 Full case available at: <u>http://www.bailii.org/ew/cases/EWHC/Ch/2011/1</u>
 <u>366.html</u>

#### Conclusion

- Commercial exploitation of trade mark involves some degree of loss of control
- Names used as trade marks for two or more activities which are separated or disposed of with a business could end up being used for purposes which the originator or "joint" user disagrees with.
- This should be considered as part of drafting the terms of relevant contracts or how to acquire competing rights on a company acquisition as part of due diligence process
- Where joint use exists satisfactory conclusions are a matter of commercial strength and judgment – often requiring negotiation (and possibly litigation too).